

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 03.07.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CrI.O.P.No.10001 of 2020

S.Ganesh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep. by

The Inspector of Police,
J-3 Guindy Police Station,
Crime, Chennai.

.... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.,
praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest
in Crime No.33 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Kartik

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Section
420 of IPC in Crime No.33 of 2020, on the file of the respondent
police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with
other accused persons has started a finance company in the name and
style of Shine Marketing Solution, and advertised in the general
public to invest money in their company for which, they assured that
they will pay high interest or house sites. In this regard, so many
victims invested money and the accused persons swindled money to the
tune of Rs.2,33,80,000/-. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit
that the petitioner is no way connected with the company called Shine
Marketing Solution as alleged by the prosecution. He is an employee
to the said company and he never collected money from the general
public. Therefore he sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner is arrayed as third accused and he was one of the partners of Shine Marketing Solution. They assured to the general public that if money invested in their company, they will provide land for more cost. Thereafter all the accused persons cheated the victims to the tune of Rs.2,33,80,000/-. He further submitted that so far, 33 complaints have been received from 33 victims and the first accused has been arrested and no amount was recovered till now. Therefore, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is very much required and hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. It is seen that totally there are three accused in which the petitioner is arrayed as A3. He is the partner of a finance company named Shine Marketing Solution and they offered the general public to invest money for which, they assured that they will give land to them valued more than the money they invested. Thereafter, they cheated a sum of Rs.2,33,80,000/- received from 33 victims. Therefore the petitioner along with other accused persons has committed very serious offence as against the society. Therefore, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

-sd/-
03/07/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

सत्यमेव जयते

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
J-3, GUINDY POLICE STATION,
CHENNAI.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.S.KARTIK Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.10001/2020

Date :03/07/2020