

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 01.07.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CrI.O.P.No. 9838 of 2020

1.Suresh
2.Amburose

... Petitioners

Vs.

State Rep. Through the
Inspector of Police,
Harithuvaramangalam Police Station,
Thiruvarur District.
Cr. No.2 of 2020

... Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438
Cr.P.C., praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event
of their arrest in Crime No.2 of 2020 on the file of the
respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr. M.Srinivasan

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294
(b), 323 of I.P.C., and Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of
Harassment of Women Act, 2002 in Crime No.2 of 2020, seek
anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was a quarrel
between the petitioners and the defacto complainant and it is
alleged that the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant
with hands. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that
the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed
any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Therefore, he prays to
grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit
that the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant and the
injured discharged from the hospital. However, he vehemently
opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the above fact and circumstances of the case and the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Needamangalam on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-
01/07/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

TO

1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, NEEDAMANGALAM.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
THIRUVARUR [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
HARITHUVARAMANGALAM POLICE STATION,
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT

CC to M/S.M.SRINIVASAN Advocate on payment of necessary
charges

CRL OP.9838/2020

Date :01/07/2020

MK:14/08/2020



WEB COPY