

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.06.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CrI.O.P No.9768 of 2020

K.Sathiyaraj

.. Petitioner

vs.

The Inspector of Police,  
G-10, Anaicut Police Station,  
Chengalpattu District - 603 312.  
(Cr. No. 394/2020)

.. Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Cr. No. 394 of 2020, on the file of respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr. K.Mahalingam

For Respondent : Mr. M.Mohamed Riyaz  
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.394 of 2020, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity among the two families, it is alleged that the petitioner attacked the de facto complainant and also abused him with filthy language. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is a innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner also lodged complaint against the defacto complainant. Therefore, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner attacked the de facto complainant and the injured discharged from the hospital. Hence he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the above fact and circumstances of the case and the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Maduranthagam, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond/s, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

-sd/-

30/06/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned  
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)  
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
MADURANTHAGAM

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.


3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
G-10 ANAICUT POLICE STATION,  
CHENGALPATTU DISTRICT

CC to M/S. K.MAGALINGAM Advocate on payment of necessary  
charges

CRL OP.9768/2020

Date :30/06/2020

RVR 27/08/2020



WEB COPY