

THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 29.06.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CrI.O.P.No.9598 of 2020

Venkatesh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Mathigiri Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
Cr. No.407 of 2020

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.,
praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest
in Crime No.407 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr. M.P. Saravanan

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

The petitioner who apprehends apprehend arrest at the hands of
the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections
294(b), 506(i), 505(2), 153(B) of IPC, Section 67 of Information
Technology(Amendment) Act 2008 in Crime No.407 of 2020 seeks
anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner/A1 along
with A2 have abused a political party with filthy language and
recorded as video and uploaded the same in face book, internet and
forwarded the video in whats app group and the co-accused/A2 was
arrested. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit
that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed
any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays to grant
anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the
respondent submitted that the petitioner is arrayed as A1 and he
along with A2 have abused a political party with filthy language and
recorded as video and uploaded the same in face book, internet and
forwarded the video in whats app group. He further submitted that the
co-accused has been arrested and under custody. Hence, he vehemently
opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the fact and circumstances of the case and also the fact the co-accused was arrested in this case, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. When this Court is about to dismiss the petition, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the petition.

4. Recording the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

-sd/-
29/06/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MATHIGIRI POLICE STATION,
KRISHNAGIRI DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S. M.P.SARAVANAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.9598/2020

Date :29/06/2020

cs 24/07/2020

WEB COPY