

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 25.06.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.O.P.No.9313 of 2020

Maha @ Mahadevan @ Mahalingam
S/o. Murugan,
Thachur Village,
Arani Circle,
Thiruvannamalai District.

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep. by
The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Chetpet Police Station,
Thiruvannamalai District.
Cr. No.157 of 2020

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.,
praying to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his
arrest in Crime No.157 of 2020 pending investigation on the file of the
respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr. B.S.Manikandan

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 379, 430 IPC and Section 21(5) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 and Section 3 of TNPPDL Act, in Crime No.157 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioner is alleged to have transported 1 ½ units of river sand illegally in the Bolero Truck bearing Registration No.TN 20 BK 3795. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he is the driver of the vehicle. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that the quantity of sand involved is 1 ½ units in

this case. He further submitted that there are two previous cases pending against the petitioner.

5. This Court is of the opinion that the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) as non-refundable deposit to the credit of the **Cancer Institute (WIA), East Canal Bank Road, Adyar, Chennai**, without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court. Merely, because the petitioner has deposited the said amount, it would not amount to admission of his guilt. Therefore, it is open to the trial Court to deal with the case independently.

6. Taking note of the facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) as non-refundable deposit to the credit of the **Cancer Institute (WIA), East Canal Bank**

Road, Adyar, Chennai, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready and on such deposit, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Polur, Thiruvannamalai District, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand only) to the credit of the **Cancer Institute (WIA), East Canal Bank Road, Adyar, Chennai** and shall produce the said receipt before the Court below.

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when

required for interrogation.

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

25.06.2020

Index: Yes/No

Internet: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-Speaking order

kal

WEB COPY

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J

kal

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Polur, Thiruvannamalai District,
2. The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Chetpet Police Station,
Thiruvannamalai District.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court,
Chennai.



Crl.O.P.No.9313 of 2020

WEB COPY

25.06.2020