

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED :24.06.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

Crl.O.P.No.8875 of 2020

RAJKUMAR,
S/o. Pachappan,
D.No.4/44A,
Sananthamalai Post, Guttur,
Krishnagiri Taluk and District.

... Petitioner/A3

Vs.

State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
GURUBARAPALLI Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
(Crime No.684 of 2020)

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.,
praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in
Crime No.684 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Rajadurai
For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324, 307 of IPC and Sec.4 of TN Prohibition of Women Harassment Act – 2002 in Crime No.684 of 2020, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the defacto complainant and it is alleged that the petitioner and other accused persons abused and assaulted the defacto complainant with wooden log and thereby she sustained grievous injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Therefore, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner and other accused persons attacked the defacto complainant. He would also submit that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the above fact and circumstances of the case and the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Krishnagiri, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of

the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court

himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

24.06.2020

Index: Yes/No
Internet: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-Speaking order
rri

To

1. Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Krishnagiri,
2. The Inspector of Police, GURUBARAPALLI Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
(Crime No.684 of 2020)
3. The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court,
Chennai.

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J

rri



Crl.O.P.No. 8875 of 2020

WEB COPY

24.06.2020