

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12.06.2020

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE **N.ANAND VENKATESH**

Crl.O.P.No.8589 of 2020

S.Harish,
S/o.Sambandhan,
Bajanai Koil Street,
Vathiyoor Village,
Kooram Post,
Kancheepuram District.

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
B-4, Baluchettychatram Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.

..Respondent

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.841 of 2020 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Dillikumar

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 379 and 430 IPC r/w Section 21 (1) of the Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulations) Act 1957 and Section 3 (1) of Tamil Nadu Public Property (Prevention of Damage and Loss) Act, 1992, in Crime No.841 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that while conducting a prohibition raid by the respondent police, the petitioner has illegally transported half unit of sand by using a Bolero vehicle bearing Registration No.TN-02-AM-4476 without any permit or valid licence and it was seized by the respondent police.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he did not commit any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that the quantity of sand involved is half unit of sand. The learned counsel further submitted that there are no previous cases against the petitioner.

5.This Court is of the opinion that the petitioner can be directed to deposit a sum of Rs.5000/- (Rupees Five thousand only) as non-refundable deposit to the credit of the Tamil Nadu Advocate Clerk Association, High Court, Madras, without prejudice to their rights and contentions before the trial Court. Merely, because the petitioner has deposited the said amount, it would not amount to admission of his guilt. Therefore, it is open to the trial Court to deal with the case independently.

6.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Kancheepuram, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a

separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.5000/- (Rupees Five thousand only) as non refundable deposit to the credit of the Tamil Nadu Advocate Clerk Association, Madras High Court, Chennai (Indian Bank, High Court Branch, A/c No.484026006, IFSC Code:IDIB000M157).

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation.

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[g] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

12.06.2020

nsd

Index:yes/no

Speaking order/non speaking order

WEB COPY

N.ANAND VENKATESH,J.

nsd

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Kancheepuram.

2.The Inspector of Police,
B-4, Baluchettychatram Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.


3.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court,
Chennai.



Crl.O.P.No.8589 of 2020

WEB COPY

12.06.2020



WEB COPY