

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 20.07.2020

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.VELMURUGAN

Civil Revision Petition(PD) No. 2929 of 2016

D.L. Raja

... Petitioner

Vs

Durai Gunasekaran

... Respondent

Prayer : Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India against the fair and decreetal order dated 01.07.2016 passed in M.P.No.168 of 2016 in RCOP No.396 of 2014 by the learned XI Small Causes Judge, Chennai.

For Petitioner : R. U. Dinesh Raj Kumar

For Respondent : No appearance

ORDER

When the matter was taken up for hearing on 07.07.2020, the learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the respondent/tenant had already vacated the premises and handed over the possession to the petitioner/land lord. However, the learned counsel for the respondent sought for adjournment for getting instructions.

2. Today when the matter is taken up for hearing, there is no

representation on behalf of the respondent.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that respondent/tenant had already vacated the premises and handed over possession to the petitioner/landlord.

4. Taking into account the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner that already the respondent/tenant has vacated and handed over the possession to the petitioner/landlord, nothing survives for adjudication in the present Civil Revision Petition. Therefore, the Civil Revision Petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

20.07.2020

mrp

To

1. The XI Small Causes Judge, Chennai.

2. The Section Officer, V.R. Section, High Court, Madras

सत्यमेव जयते
WEB COPY

C.R.P No.2929 of 2016

P.VELMURUGAN,J.

mrp



C.R.P.(PD) No.2929 of 2016

20.07.2020

WEB COPY