

submit that the petitioners are the sole bread winner of their family. Hence, he seeks bail to the petitioners.

4. Per contra, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the A1 is the grandson of the deceased. A1 along with A2, murdered the deceased and thereafter snatched the gold chain from the deceased and that the investigation is still under progress. Hence, he vehemently opposed for grant of bail to the petitioners.

5. It is seen that there are two accused, in which the petitioners are arrayed as A1 and A2. A1 is none other than the own grandson of the deceased. A2 is the friend of A1. A1 and the A2 have entered into the deceased's house and murdered her by strangulating her neck for gold chain worth about 10 sovereigns and now seven sovereigns have been recovered from the accused.

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail and the petitions stands dismissed.

-sd/-

12/05/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, TIRUVALLUR

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, सत्यमेव जयते
T-6, AVADI POLICE STATION,
AVADI, CHENNAI-54,

4 THE SUPERINTENDENT,
CENTRAL PRISON, PUZHAL,
CHENNAI

WEB COPY

CC to M/S. K.BALASUBRAMANIAM Advocate on payment of necessary charges

Cr1.O.P.Nos.7181 & 7294 of 2020

Date :12/05/2020

RVR 17/08/2020