

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Twenty Third day of March Two Thousand Twenty
PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr Justice T.RAJA
CRIMINAL ORIGINAL PETITION No.6769 of 2020

B.P.C.RAJKUMAR

[PETITIONER]

Vs

THE STATE REP. BY [RESPONDENT]
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE (M-8),
SATHANGADU POLICE STATION,
TIRUVOTTIYUR, CHENNAI.
CRIME NO.74 OF 2020

For Petitioner : M/S.P.PRAKASH PAUL Advocate

For Respondent : MR. S.KARTHIKEYAN, ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 323, 341, 427 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.74 of 2020, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to family dispute, the petitioner is alleged to have threatened the *de facto* complainant with dire consequences.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any such offence and a false case has been foisted against him.

4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner is alleged to have threatened the *de facto* complainant with dire consequences. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the serious nature of the allegations, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Hence, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
23/03/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
(M-8), SATHANGADU POLICE STATION,
TIRUVOTTIYUR, CHENNAI.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

+1CC to M/S.P.PRAKASH PAUL Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR NO.5592

CRL OP.6769/2020

Date :23/03/2020

MK:29/06/2020

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY