IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED : 12.03.2020
CORAM
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. ANAND VENKATESH
W.P No.6282 of 2020
and W.M.P No.7398 of 2020

K.R.Phoornaraj Petitioner

vs.

1. The Tahsildar,
Cheyyur Taluk Office,
Kancheepuram District.

2. The Sub-Registrar,
Cheyyur Sub-Registration Office,
Cheyyur,
Kancheepuram District. Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records of the impugned rejection order passed in Na.Ka.No.80/2019 dated 05.02.2019 by the 2nd respondent and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the 2nd respondent to made a necessary mutation in Document Number 1156 of 2018 as per revenue records.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Gandhi Kumar
For Respondents : Mr.Annai Ezhil
Government Advocate for R1
Mr.M.Tamizharasan
Additional Government Pleader for R2

O R D E R

By consent of both sides, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal.

2.This writ petition has been filed challenging the rejection letter of the 2nd respondent dated 05.02.2019 and for a consequential direction to the 2nd respondent to make the necessary corrections in the document as per the revenue records.

3.The case of the petitioner is that, he purchased the property by registered sale deed on 25.05.2018 in three survey numbers. At the time of registration, the survey numbers were mentioned as 47/A1 and 47/A2 whereas it should have been

WEB COPY
https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

mentioned in the records as 47A/1 and 47A/2. This came to be known to the petitioner when he approached the 1st respondent seeking for patta. Since, the 1st respondent found that the survey numbers has been wrongly mentioned in the document, the petitioner approached the 2nd respondent by making a representation to correct the error that has crept in the document. The 2nd respondent, on receipt of the representation, has informed the petitioner that such a correction cannot be made in the document and that their system is incapable of making such an alpha and numeric corrections.

4.The learned Government Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondent submitted that the system that is available with the 2nd respondent is incapable of handling alpha and numeric changes. Therefore, the issue has been forwarded to the Inspector General of Registration, wherein such a facility is available. The learned counsel submitted that the representation made by the petitioner was already forwarded to the Inspector General of Registration and necessary changes will be made within a reasonable time fixed by this Court.

5.Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and also the limited relief that has been sought for in this writ petition, there shall be a direction to the 2nd respondent to make the necessary corrections by inserting the correct survey numbers by following it up with the Inspector General of Registration and take an appropriate decision, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. The petitioner is directed to make a fresh representation to the 2nd respondent along with a copy of this order and a copy shall be also marked to the Inspector General of Registration, Chennai.

6.This writ petition is disposed of with the above directions. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. No Costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS-VI)

// True Copy//

WEB COPY

Sub Assistant Registrar

ssr

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

To

1. The Tahsildar,
   Cheyyur Taluk Office,
   Kancheepuram District.

2. The Sub-Registrar,
   Cheyyur Sub-Registration Office,
   Cheyyur, Kancheepuram District.

3.The Inspector General of Registration,
  Chennai.

+1cc to Mr..Gandhikumar, Advocate, SR.No.21991.
+1cc to Government Pleader, SR.No.22653.

W.P No.6282 of 2020
and W.M.P No.7398 of 2020

GP(CO)
CSR: 17.03.2020

सत्यमेव जयते
WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/