

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.03.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.PARTHIBAN

W.P.No.6094 of 2020

Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
Anaithu Podhu Thozhilalargal Sangam,
rep. by its General Secretary,
N.K.Muthiah,
Registered office at,
No.34/33, Bhajanai Koil Street,
Korattur,
Chennai- 600 080

Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The Board President and Director,
TANGEDCO,
800, 10th Floor, Anna Salai,
Chennai- 600 002
- 2.The Chief Engineer,
TANGEDCO,
800, 8th Floor, Anna Salai,
Chennai- 600 002
- 3.The Superintending Engineer,
Tiruvannamalai Electricity Distribution Scheme,
Tiruvannamalai.
- 4.The Executive Engineer(O& M),
TANGEDCO,
Polur-606 803
Tiruvannamalai District

.. Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of The Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents 1 & 2 to consider the representations of the petitioner-Sangam dated 20.11.2019 within a time limit as fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner
For Respondents

:Mr.P.Mohanraj
:Mr.M.Fakkir Mohideen,
Standing Counsel

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed seeking for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents 1 & 2 to consider the representations of the petitioner-Sangam dated 20.11.2019 within a time limit as fixed by this Court.

2. From the prayer as sought above, this Court is unable to appreciate as to how a Sangam could maintain such a Writ Petition in respect of some action initiated by the official respondent (third respondent) against some individual workman in some Division. The entire affidavit filed in support of the Writ Petition is completely bereft of any details and this Court is not able to comprehend as to what is the locus-standi of the petitioner-Sangam to canvass some imaginary grievance of the petitioner-Sangam in respect of some workman, they claim to represent. The averments are too bald and generic and those averments do not constitute any particular right for the petitioner-Sangam to seek for issuance of Writ of Mandamus.

3. This Court has to necessarily come to the conclusion that the averments as contained in the affidavit are self-serving and lack merits and substance. Such averments do not call for any adjudication and the prayer though appears to be innocuous, seeking mandamus to dispose of the representation, yet prayer cannot be granted at the instance of the petitioner-Sangam, which probably has an axe to grind against the Management. The entire Writ Petition appears to be ill-conceived, motivated and cannot be entertained even for a second.

4. Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed at the admission stage itself. No costs.

-s/d-

Assistant Registrar(CS-II)

//True Copy//

Sub-Assistant Registrar

dn/suk

To

1.The Board President and Director,
TANGEDCO,
800, 10th Floor, Anna Salai,
Chennai- 600 002

2.The Chief Engineer,
TANGEDCO,
800, 8th Floor, Anna Salai,
Chennai- 600 002

3.The Superintending Engineer,
Tiruvannamalai Electricity Distribution Scheme,
Tiruvannamalai.

4.The Executive Engineer(O& M),
TANGEDCO,
Polur-606 803
Tiruvannamalai District.

+1cc to Mr.P.Mohanraj, Advocate in sr.no.21336

+1cc to Mr.M.Fakkir Mohideen, Advocate, in sr.no.20878

W.P.No.6094 of 2020

SMN(CO)
GP(27/05/2020)



WEB COPY