

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17.06.2020

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR.A.P.SAHI, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY

Review Application No.46 of 2020
in W.A.No.51 of 2020

The Anna University,
rep. by its Registrar,
Anna University Campus,
Guindy, Chennai – 600 025.

.. Petitioner

VS.

1.Mahendra Institute of Technology,
rep. by its Principal,
Salem-Tiruchengode Highway,
Mahendhirapuri,
Mallasamudram,
Namakkal – 637 503.

2.The University Grants Commission,
rep. by the Secretary,
University Grants Commission,
Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg,
New Delhi – 110 001.

.. Respondents

Review Application filed under Order 47 Rule 1 r/w 114 of CPC seeking to review the order passed in W.A.No.51 of 2020, dated 14.02.2020 on the file of this Court.

For Petitioner : Ms.Narmada Sampath
Addl. Advocate General
assisted by
Mr.M.Vijayakumar

For Respondents : Mr.G.Masilamani
Senior Counsel
for M/s.Muthumani Doraisami
for respondent No.1

Mr.Rabu Manohar
for respondent No.2

ORDER
(Order of the Court was made by *The Hon'ble Chief Justice*)

Having heard Ms.Narmada Sampath, learned Additional Advocate General along with Mr.M.Vijayakumar for the applicant and the grounds raised in the review application, we are satisfied that there is no error apparent on the face of the record in the conclusions drawn, particularly on the legal issues that were raised and which have

been categorically recorded from paragraph 14 onwards of the judgment dated 14.02.2020.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant vehemently urged that a certain fact relating to the action taken by the University could not be brought on record and, therefore, that error of fact impels the filing of the review application.

3. Learned counsel has invited the attention of the Court to the grounds raised in the review application, but we find that the contest that is sought to be raised before us through the review application is virtually questioning the action of the University Grants Commission on the ground that there was non-application of mind. This issue has already been dealt with by us and we find no challenge having been raised by the University to the action of the University Grants Commission independently of these proceedings. Consequently, we neither find any error of fact nor of law which may amount to an error apparent on the face of the record so as to warrant interference on the review application moved by the applicant University.

The review application, therefore, lacks merit and is accordingly rejected. No costs.

(A.P.S., CJ.) (S.K.R., J.)
17.06.2020

Index : Yes/No
sasi/bbr

To:

The Secretary,
University Grants Commission,
Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg,
New Delhi – 110 001.



WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY, J.

bbr



Review Application No.46 of 2020

WEB COPY

17.06.2020