

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27-01-2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

A.S.No.318 of 2018
And
C.M.P.No.7902 of 2018

1.M.Mariappan
2.M.Muthumari .. Appellants/Defendants
vs.
1.Mrs.Mariammal
2.Mrs.Vijayalakshmi .. Respondents/Plaintiffs

Appeal Suit is preferred under Section 96 of the Code of Civil Procedure read with Order 41, Rule 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure, against the judgment and preliminary decree dated 21.06.2016 passed in O.S.No.2460 of 2015 on the file of the learned XVII Additional Judge, City Civil Court, Chennai.

For Appellants : Mr.S.Janarthanan

For Respondents : Mr.H.Krishna Raj

J U D G M E N T

The learned counsel for the appellants made a submission that the original suit was instituted for partition and the preliminary decree was passed, against which the present appeal suit is filed. During the pendency of the present appeal suit, the parties have settled the issues out of Court.

2. In this regard, the learned counsel for the appellants submitted a copy of the Survey Plan, wherein the parties have accepted the same and settled the issues out of Court.

3. The learned counsel for the appellants made an endorsement to the said effect in the case bundle also. Thus, the appellants are permitted to withdraw the present appeal suit.

4. Accordingly, the present appeal suit stands disposed

of. However, there shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-I)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

Svn


To

The XVII Additional Judge,
City Civil Court,
Chennai.

+1cc to Mr.S.Janarthanan, Advocate, S.R.No. 5676

A.S.No.318 of 2018

SVI (CO)
GN(09/03/2020)



WEB COPY