

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10.02.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN

C.R.P.(PD) No.3141 of 2013

and

M.P.No.1 of 2013

M.R.Balakrishnan

...Petitioner

..Vs..

1.Sakthivadivel

2.Dharmambal

3.Mahalakshmi

4.Gunavathi

5.Zam Zam Electrical,

Rep.by its Partners

Shandhu Mahamed and Aajis

Udumalpet

6.K.V.Rajagopalan

7.Rajalakshmi

8.K.S.Seethalakshmi

9.Rajaram

10.N.Ponraj

11.K.Venkitakrishnan

...Respondents

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition filed under Section 115 of C.P.C, against

the fair order dated 06.10.2012 made in I.A.No.2385 of 2011 in I.A.No.1352 of 2009 in O.S.No.124 of 1987 on the file of the Court of the District Munsif, Udumalpet.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Dhanasekaran

For RI : Mr.M.Guruprasad

O R D E R

This Civil Revision Petition is arising out of I.A.No.2385 of 2011 for appointment of Advocate Commissioner in the final decree proceedings in I.A.No.1352 of 2009 in partition suit O.S.No.124 of 1987.

2. The learned counsel for the respondents/defendants stated that the final decree proceedings have been completed and aggrieved by certain portion of the final decree, the parties has preferred regular appeal and the same was also disposed of. Aggrieved against the said decree and judgment of the First Appellate Court, they also preferred S.A.No.845 of 2018 and the same is pending before this Court. As this application is filed under Section 115 of C.P.C and in view of the

subsequent development in the matter, the C.R.P has become infructuous.

3. Accordingly, this Civil Revision Petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

10.02.2020

nvi


Index:Yes/No

Speaking Order:Yes/No

To

The District Munsif, Udumalpet.


WEB COPY



WEB COPY

RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN,J.,


nvi



C.R.P.(PD) No.3141 of 2013 and
M.P.No.1 of 2013

WEB COPY

10.02.2020



WEB COPY