IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03.08.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

W.P.No. 5251 of 2019

1. Anand Kumar
   S/o. Chinnamunusamy.

2. Vivekanandhan,
   S/o. Chinnamunusamy

3. Shanmugasundaram
   S/o. Chinnamunusamy

4. Suresh Babu,
   S/o. Chinnamunusamy

5. Dhanalakshmi
   W/o. Chinnamunusamy

6. Punithavathi,
   D/o. Chinnamunusamy

7. Thilagavathi,
   D/o. Chinnamunusamy ... Petitioners

Vs.

1. The District Collector,
   Krishnagiri District, Krishnagiri.

2. The District Revenue Officer,
   Krishagiri District, Krishnagiri.

3. The Sub-Collector,
   Hosur Taluk,
   Krishnagiri Taluk.

4. The Tahsildar,
   Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District.

5. Padmanabhan
   S/o. GurumurthiPillai

6. Shanmugam
   S/o. Gurumurthi Pillai.

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

7. Manonmani
W/o. Annamalai. ... Respondents

[R5 to R7 are impleaded vide order dated 13.06.2019]

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Aricle 226 of Constitution of India, seeking Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to issue joint patta by including the names of legal heirs of Late. Chinnamunusamy in S.No.9/9, 10/12, 10/14, 11/3, 16/9, 16/16, 18/2, 18/4, 19/2, 19/3, 19/6, 19/8, 30/2, 38/8, 41/4, 41/5 at Nalingabetta Agraharam Village, Hosur Taluk, Krishagiri District by considering the petitioner's representation dated 10.10.2017.

For Petitioner : Mr.R. Jayaprakash

For Respondents : Mr.Annai Ezhil
Government Advocate [R1 to R4]

Mr.R.Bharath Kumar [R5 to R7]

ORDER

Mr.Annai Ezhil, learned Government Advocate appearing on behalf of the respondents 1 to 4 submitted that the representation made by the petitioners has already been considered and order has been passed on 26.09.2019 itself. The learned counsel has also brought to the notice of this Court paragraphs 6 and 8 of the counter affidavit filed by the fourth respondent.

2. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents 5 to 7 submitted that nothing survives in the present writ petition because orders have already been passed by the fourth respondent.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners will work out their remedy against the orders passed by the fourth respondent. It was further submitted that the order has not been served either on the petitioners or on the private respondents.

4. In view of the above development, nothing survives in the present writ petition. The fourth respondent is directed to serve the copy of the proceedings dated 26.07.2019 mentioned in paragraph 6 and 8 of the counter affidavit to the petitioners and to respondents 5 to 7. It shall be served within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. If any of the parties are aggrieved, they can always work out their remedy in accordance with law.

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

This writ petition is disposed of accordingly. No costs.

-s/d-
Assistant Registrar

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

mp

To

1. The District Collector,
   Krishnagiri District, Krishnagiri.

2. The District Revenue Officer,
   Krishagiri District, Krishnagiri.

3. The Sub-Collector,
   Hosur Taluk,
   Krishnagiri Taluk.

4. The Tahsildar,
   Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District.

+1cc to the Government Pleader SR 26152

W.P.No.5251 of 2019

VSNII(CO)

VS 27.08.2020

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/