

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03.03.2020

CORAM :

The Hon'ble Mr.A.P.SAHI, THE CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

The Hon'ble Mr.JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

W.P.No.4825 Of 2020
WMP No.5715 of 2020
and WMP No.5718 of 2020

S. Poornachandran

...Petitioner

-vs-

1. The Secretary,
Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission,
TNPSC Road, VOC Nagar,
Park Town, Chennai-3

2. The Registrar General,
Madras High Court,
Chennai - 3

...Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying this Court to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records pertaining to the Final Answer Key dated 31.01.2020 issued by the first respondent pertaining to an exam conducted for selection to the post of Civil Judge and quash the same in so far as it relates to the answer for the question numbers 2, 35 and 39 are concerned after considering the petitioner's objection and award appropriate marks for the petitioner and consequently directing the first respondent herein to permit the petitioner to participate in the Main Examination which is scheduled to be held on 28.03.2020 and 29.03.2020.

For Petitioner

: Mr.R. Karthikeyan
for Mr.D. Srinivasaraghavan

For 1st respondent

: Ms.C.N.G. Niraimathi
Standing Counsel

For 2nd respondent

: Mr.B. Vijay

JUDGMENT

(Delivered by The Hon'ble Chief Justice)

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for High Court, Madras.

2. The contention of the petitioner is that three questions viz., Question Nos.2, 35 and 39 posed in the preliminary examination have been correctly answered by the petitioner, whereas, he has not been awarded marks apparently on the ground that the key answers are different.

3. We have called upon learned counsel for the High Court to inform us about the status of objections having been raised in respect of all the three aforesaid questions and learned counsel for the High Court informed that the said objections were considered in detail and vide Resolution dated 20.12.2019 all the objections in respect of the aforesaid three questions were rejected holding that the key answers, as uploaded, are the correct answers. The relevant questions and answers and the details regarding the aforesaid objections have been placed before this Court.

4. Having perused the same, we are satisfied that a transparent process for considering the objections has been followed and therefore, no case is made out under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

5. The writ petition is accordingly, consigned to records. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

sr

To

1. The Secretary,
Tamil Nadu Public Service Commission,
TNPSC Road, VOC Nagar,
Park Town, Chennai-3

2. The Registrar General,
Madras High Court,
Chennai - 3

+1cc to Mr.D.Srinivasa Raghavan, Advocate, S.R.No.19134

W.P.No.4825 of 2020

PM(CO)
KKV/20/07/2020



WEB COPY