

In the High Court of Judicature at Madras

Dated : 05.3.2020

Coram

The Honourable Mr.Justice T.S.SIVAGNANAM

Writ Petition No.36491 of 2005 & WPMP.No.39280 of 2005

S.N.Thiyagarajan

...Petitioner

Vs

The Chennai Metropolitan Development Authority (CMDA), rep.by its Member Secretary, No.1, Gandhi Irwin Road, Egmore, Chennai-8.

...Respondent

PETITION under Article 226 of The Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Declaration declaring Rule 7 (3) of the Application Assessment and Collection of Regularization Fee (Chennai Metropolitan Area) Rules 1999 as amended upto 24.4.2002 as illegal and null and void in so far as claiming 18% interest for regularization fees and the additional charges.

For Petitioner :Ms.Deepa for Mr.R.Manickavel

For Respondent :Ms.Veena Suresh

ORDER

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel on either side that nothing survives for adjudication in this writ petition, as the Rules have been subsequently amended.

3. Recording the said submission, the above writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, the connected WPMP is also dismissed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(MD)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To
The Member Secretary, Chennai Metropolitan Development
Authority, No.1, Gandhi Irwin Road, Egmore, Chennai-8.

+1cc to M/s.P.Veena Suresh Advocate SR.19652

WP.No.36491 of 2005 &
WPMP.No.39280 of 2005

VSN-II (CO)
CB(26/05/2020)



WEB COPY