

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04.08.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL.O.P.No.3709 of 2020
and
Crl.MP.No.2144 of 2020

1.Mugunthan
2.Krishnamoorthy
3.Vasuki ... Petitioners/Accused 1 to 3

Vs.

1. The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Ramanathapuram Police Station,
Coimbatore.

Now Investigating by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station (East)
Pulliyakulam,
Coimbatore.

2.Kiruthiga ... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. praying to call for the records relating in Cr.No.34 of 2020 on the file of Inspector of Police, Ramanathapuram Police Station, Coimbatore and now been investigated by All Women Police Station (East), Coimbatore and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.C.Mohammed Aseef

For Respondents

For R1 : Mr.S.Karthikeyan

Additional Public Prosecutor.

For R2 : Ms.Sudha Ramalingam

O R D E R

This petition has been filed to call for the records relating in Cr.No.34 of 2020 on the file of Inspector of Police, Ramanathapuram Police Station, Coimbatore and now been investigated by All Women Police Station (East), Coimbatore and quash the same.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they had not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Without any base, the first respondent police registered a case in Crime No.34 of 2020 for the offences under Sections 323 and 498(A) of IPC, as against these petitioners. Hence he prayed to quash the same.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the investigation is almost completed and the respondent police have only to file final report.

4. Heard Mr.C.Mohammed Aseef, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and Mr.S.Karthikeyan, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the first respondent and Ms.Sudha Ramalingam, learned counsel appearing for the second respondent.

5. It is seen from the First Information Report that there are specific allegations as against these petitioners to attract the offences, which has to be investigated in depth. Further the FIR is not an encyclopedia and it need not contain all facts and it cannot be quashed in the threshold. This Court finds that the FIR discloses prima facie commission of cognizable offence and as such, this Court cannot interfere with the investigation. The investigating machinery has to step in to investigate, grab and unearth the crime in accordance with the procedures prescribed in the Code.

6. It is relevant to rely upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India passed in CrI.A.No.255 of 2019 dated 12.02.2019 in the case of Sau. Kamal Shivaji Pokarnekar vs. the State of Maharashtra & ors., as follows:-

"4. The only point that arises for our consideration in this case is whether the High Court was right in setting aside the order by which process was issued. It is settled law that the Magistrate, at the stage of taking cognizance and summoning, is required to apply his judicial mind only with a view to taking cognizance of the offence, or in other words, to find out whether a prima facie case has been made out for summoning the accused persons. The learned Magistrate is not required to evaluate the merits of the material or evidence in support of the complaint, because the Magistrate must not undertake the exercise to find out whether

the materials would lead to a conviction or not.

5. Quashing the criminal proceedings is called for only in a case where the complaint does not disclose any offence, or is frivolous, vexatious, or oppressive. If the allegations set out in the complaint do not constitute the offence of which cognizance has been taken by the Magistrate, it is open to the High Court to quash the same. It is not necessary that a meticulous analysis of the case should be done before the Trial to find out whether the case would end in conviction or acquittal. If it appears on a reading of the complaint and consideration of the allegations therein, in the light of the statement made on oath that the ingredients of the offence are disclosed, there would be no justification for the High Court to interfere.

.....
9. Having heard the learned Senior Counsel and examined the material on record, we are of the considered view that the High Court ought not to have set aside the order passed by the Trial Court issuing summons to the Respondents. A perusal of the complaint discloses that prima facie, offences that are alleged against the Respondents. The correctness or otherwise of the said allegations has to be decided only in the Trial. At the initial stage of issuance of process it is not open to the Courts to stifle the proceedings by entering into the merits of the contentions made on behalf of the accused. Criminal complaints cannot be quashed only on the ground that the allegations made therein appear to be of a civil nature. If the ingredients of the offence alleged against the accused are prima facie made out in the complaint, the criminal proceeding shall not be interdicted."

7. In view of the above discussion, this Court is not inclined to quash the First Information Report. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed. However, considering the crime is of the year 2020, the first respondent

is directed to complete the investigation in Crime No.34 of 2020 and file a final report within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this Order, before the jurisdiction Magistrate, if not already filed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS III)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Coimbatore.
2. The The Inspector of Police,
Ramanathapuram Police Station,
Coimbatore.
Now Investigating by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station (East)
Pulliyakulam,
Coimbatore.
- 3.All Women Police Station (East),
Coimbatore
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+2cc to M/s.Sudha Ramalingam, Advocate Sr.26014

CRL.O.P.No.3709 of 2020
and CrI.MP.No.2144 of 2020

ajs[col]
srg 26/08/2020