

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03.01.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAYAN

Second Appeal No.995 of 2005

Lakshmi Devi Ammal

...Appellant/Defendant

vs.

G. Manickkam

...Respondent/Plaintiff

Second Appeal filed under Section 100 C.P.C., against the judgment and decree in A.S.No.48 of 2000 on the file of the Sub Court, Thiruvannamalai dated 17.12.2004, confirming the judgment and decree in O.S.No.233 of 1994 on the file of the District Munif, Polur dated 25.1.2000.

For appellant : Mr.V. Raghavachari

For Respondent : Mr.P. Seshadri

JUDGMENT

Learned counsel for the respondent submitted that the sole respondent died in the year 2013 itself and to that extent, he has also filed a memo furnishing all the details of the legal heirs of the sole respondent vide memo dated 3.12.2018.

2. Even today, the appellant did not take any steps to implead the legal heirs of the sole respondent. Learned counsel for the appellant also reported no instructions from the sole appellant to implead the legal heirs of the deceased sole respondent.

3. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions made by either counsel on record, this second appeal is dismissed as abated. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (C.O.)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To :


1.The Subordinate Judge,
Tiruvannamalai.

2.The District Munsif,
Polur.

Copy To: The Section Officer, V.R.Section,
High Court of Madras, Chennai -104.

AKM/07.02.2020/2P-4C /

Second Appeal No.995 of 2005



WEB COPY