

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 15.12.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE G.JAYACHANDRAN

S.A.NO.1171 OF 2008

AND

M.P.NO.1 OF 2008

Thajunnisa

.. Appellant/Respondent/
Defendant

Vs.

Arulmighu Thillaiamman Temple,
Chidambaram, by its Executive Officer
having his office within
Chidambaram.

.. Respondent/Appellant/
Plaintiff

PRAYER: Second Appeal is filed under Section 100 of C.P.C against the judgment and decree dated 18.07.2007 made in A.S.No.90 of 2006 on the file of the learned Subordinate Judge of Chidambaram reversing the well considered judgment and decree dated 20.01.2006 and made in O.S.No.365 of 1998 on the file of the learned Principal District Munsif, Chidambaram.

For Appellant : Mr.N.Sankaravadivel
For Respondent : Mr.K.Chandrasekaran

J U D G M E N T

(The case has been heard through video conferencing)

The learned counsel for the appellant has given a letter to withdraw the second appeal. The learned counsel for the respondent has given no objection.

2. Recording the letter given by the learned counsel for the appellant, the Second Appeal is dismissed as withdrawn. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS IX)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

rpl

To

- 1.The Subordinate Judge, Chidambaram.
- 2.The Principal District Munsif, Chidambaram.


Copy To

The Section Officer,
VR Section, High Court,
Madras - 104.

+lcc to Mr.K.Chandrasekaran, Advocate, S.R.No.40958

S.A.No.1171 of 2008
and M.P.No.1 of 2008

BP(CO)
CS/16/12/2020



WEB COPY