

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08-01-2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

A.S.No.1008 of 2009

Catherine Sahayamary
Appellant/Plaintiff

..

vs.

Kulandai Mary (died)
1. Arokia Mary
2. Minor Samson
3. Minor Sonia
(R2 & R3 minors are represented
by mother and natural guardian Arokia Mary)

Respondents/Defendants

Appeal under Section 96 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, read with Order 41, Rule 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 against the judgment and decree made in O.S.No.24 of 2005 on the file of the Additional District and Sessions Judge, Krishnagiri dated 23.04.2009.

For Appellant
Mr.K.Krishnan

:

for Mr.T.R.Rajaraman

For Respondents
Mr.T.Panchatsaran

:

J U D

G M E N T

The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

appellant made a submission that they have taken efforts to contact the appellant for securing instructions so as to get along with the Appeal Suit. Inspite of the efforts taken, the learned counsel for the appellant is unable to get any instructions from the appellant for the purpose of conducting the Appeal Suit.

2. Accordingly, the learned counsel for the appellant reported no instructions. Thus, A.S.No.1008 of 2009 stands closed. If at all the appellants have chosen to restore the Appeal Suit, they are at liberty to do so within a reasonable period of time. No Costs.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar

pkn

To

The Additional District and Sessions Judge,
Krishnagiri.

Copy to: The Section Officer, V.R. Section,
High Court, Madras.

+1cc to Mr.T.R.Rajaraman, Advocate, SR.No.2293.
+1cc to Mr.T.Panchatsaram, Advocate, SR.No.1747.

SR(CO)
CSR: 19.02.2020

A.S. No.1008 of 2009

WEB COPY