

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27.02.2020

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

A.S.No.462 of 2008

and

M.P.No.1 of 2008

1.Rajaram

2.Ayyasamy

3.Selvarajan

4.Mangayakarasi

5.Chezhiyan

... Appellants

Vs.

Navaneetham Srinivasan

... Respondent

Prayer: Appeal Suit filed under Section 96 of the Code of Civil Procedure, against the Judgment and Decree of the Learned Additional District Judge (Fast Track Court No.II), Tindivanam in O.S.No.4 of 2004 (Tindivanam Sub Court O.S.No.58/02) dated 28.02.2007.

For Appellants : M/s.J.Prithivi

For M/s.Kaithamalai Kumaran

For Respondent : No appearance

JUDGMENT

The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellants made a submission that in spite of the efforts taken by him, he was unable to secure instructions from the appellants for the purpose of proceeding with the case.

2. Thus, the learned counsel for the appellants reported no instructions before this Court. Consequently, the appeal suit stands closed. However, there shall be no order as to costs. Connected miscellaneous petition is also closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

Kak

To

1.The Additional District Judge
(Fast Track Court No.II),
Tindivanam.

2.The Section Officer,
V.R.Section,
High Court, Madras.

A.S.No.462 of 2008

SVI (CO)
RSI (20/05/2020)