



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.12.2021

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.GOVINDARAJ

REVIEW APPLICATION NOS.106 AND 107 OF 2020

M.M.Rangasamy ...Petitioner / 1st Respondent
in both Review Applications

vs.

1.A.Arokiasamy

2.Baby Arokiasamy ...Respondents 1 & 2 / Petitioners
in both Review Applications

3.R.Srinivasan ...Respondent 3 / Respondent 2
in both Review Applications

PRAYER : Review Applications filed under Section 114 read with Order XLVII Rule 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, to review its final order dated 14.08.2019 passed in CRP (PD) No.2644 of 2019 and CRP SR. No.90123 of 2019 respectively.

Prayer in CRP No.2644 of 2019 in Rev.Appl.106 of 2020 : Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to set aside fair and decreetal order dated 07.03.2019 made in I.A.No.569 of 2018 in O.S.No.96 of 2018 on the file of the learned Subordinate Judge, Sathyamangalam at Erode District.

Prayer in CRP SR.No.90123 of 2019 in Rev.Appl.107 of 2020 : Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to set aside the settlement memo dated 12.04.2014 made in Lok Adalat Case No.164 of 2014 confirmed in judgment and decree dated 12.04.2014 made in O.S.No.21 of 2012 on the file of the learned Subordinate Judge, Sathyamangalam and consequentially direct the 3rd respondent to remove / delete the entry of the sale deed dated 16.12.2016 vide document number 5822 of 2016.

For Petitioner : Mr.Prashant Rajagopal
(in both RAs')

For Respondents : Mr.M.Guruprasad
(in both RAs')



COMMON ORDER

The first respondent in the Civil Revision Petitions filed these Review Applications for expunging the remarks made against him and also for a direction to the Trial Court to pass orders on merits without being influenced by the orders passed in the above Civil Revision Petitions.

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned counsel appearing for the respondents would submit that the Suits filed by both the parties in O.S.No.154 of 2017 and O.S.No.21 of 2012 respectively were disposed of on 09.04.2021. In the said judgment, the Trial Court was not influenced by the order passed by this Court in the above Civil Revision Petitions and findings were given independently on the basis of evidence adduced before it.

3. Since both the Suits are disposed of on merits by the Trial Court, there is no need for reviewing the orders passed in the above Civil Revision Petitions for expunging any remarks made against the conduct of the parties and hence, both the Review Applications stands dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-VII)

// True Copy //

Sub Assistant Registrar

TK

To

1. The Subordinate Judge,
Sathyamangalam,
Erode District.

2. The Section Officer,
V.R. Section,
High Court, Madras.

REVIEW APPLICATION NOS.106 & 107 OF 2020

SRA (CO)

RVM(25/01/2022)