IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.SURESH KUMAR

MONDAY, THE 14TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2020 / 23RD AGRAHAYANA, 1942

WP(C).No.27737 OF 2020(N)

PETITIONER/S:

VARKEY OOMEN,
AGED 71 YEARS, S/O.M.V.UMMEN,
CHENGILATHU VEETTIL,
KOLAMKUDIMUKAL,
VAZHAKKALA, ERNAKULAM-682022.

BY ADVS.
SRI.T.G.RAJENDRAN
SRI.T.R.TARIN
SRI.V.A.VINOD

RESPONDENT/S:

1 **THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,**
CIVIL STATION,
KAKKANAD, ERNAKULAM-682021.

2 **THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,**
K B JACOB ROAD, FORT KOCHI,
ERNAKULAM-682001.

3 **THE TAHASILDAR,**
KANAYANNUR TALUK OFFICE,
KANAYANNUR, PARK AVENUE,
ERNAKULAM-682011.

4 **THE VILLAGE OFFICER,**
THRIKKARA NORTH VILLAGE OFFICE,
THRIKKAKARA,
ERNAKULAM-682030.

5 THE AGRICULTURAL OFFICER,
KRISHI BHAVAN,
KALAMASSERY,
VADACODE.P.O,
ERNAKULAM-682021.

SRI.K.J.MANURAJ, GOVERNMENT PLEADER

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 14.12.2020, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

W.P.(C) No.27737 of 2020

J U D G M E N T

Ext.P3 is an application preferred by the petitioner in Form No.6 of the Kerala Conservation of Paddy Land and Wetland Rules for permission to utilize a land held by him, which is an un-notified land in terms of the Kerala Conservation of Paddy Land and Wetland Act, 2008, for other purposes. The grievance of the petitioner in the writ petition concerns the inaction on the part of the second respondent in taking a decision on Ext.P3 application.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as also the learned Government Pleader.

3. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition directing the second respondent to take up and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P3 application in accordance with law, if the same is received and pending, after affording the petitioner an opportunity of hearing. Ordered accordingly. This shall be done within three months from the date of production of a copy of this judgment along with a copy of the writ petition by the petitioner. It is made

clear that the hearing in terms of this judgment can either be physical or through video conference.

Sd/-

P.B.SURESH KUMAR, JUDGE

rkj

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S/S EXHIBITS:

EXHIBIT P1	**TRUE COPY OF THE TAX RECEIPT DATED 09.11.2020.**
EXHIBIT P2	**TRUE COPY OF THE RELEVANT PAGE OF THE DATA BANK**
EXHIBIT P3	**TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION IN FORM 6 DATED 3.12.2020**
EXHIBIT P4	**TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT EVIDENCING THE PAYMENT OF STATUTORY FEE DATED 19.11.2020.**