IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

&

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAVI

MONDAY, THE 14TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2020 / 23RD AGRAHAYANA, 1942

WP(Crl.).No.298 OF 2020

PETITIONER:

SAJITH, AGED 37 YEARS
S/O. SASIDHARAN, THANNETHU PUTHENVEEDU,
VAALIYOD P. O., KOLLAM - 691520.

BY ADVS.
SRI.M.KIRANLAL
SRI.MANU RAMACHANDRAN
SRI.T.S.SARATH
SRI.R.RAJESH (VARKALA)
SHRI.SAMEER M NAIR

RESPONDENTS:

1 **THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE**
KERALA STATE (LAW AND ORDER),
POLICE HEAD QUARTERS,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 004.

2 **THE DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF/SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,**
IDUKKI DISTRICT - 685 612.

3 **THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE**
VANDANMEDU POLICE STATION,
IDUKKI DISTRICT - 685 551.

4 **RARICHAN, KOCHUKARUTHEDATHU,**
6TH MILE STONE, CHAKKUPALLAM P.O.,
PEERMEDU, IDUKKI DISTRICT - 685 509.

5 **REGIN, S/O. RARICHAN, KOCHUKARUTHEDATHU,**
6TH MILE STONE, CHAKKUPALLAM P. O., PEERMEDU,
IDUKKI DISTRICT - 685 509.

**6 TINU VERGHEESE, KOCHUKARUTHEDATHU,
6TH MILE STONE, CHAKKUPALLAM P. O., PEERMEDU,
IDUKKI DISTRICT - 685 509.**

SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.K.B.RAMANAND

THIS WRIT PETITION (CRIMINAL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 14.12.2020, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

JUDGMENT

K. Vinod Chandran, J.

The petitioner is aggrieved with the alleged illegal detention of his wife. The 4th respondent is the father, 5th respondent is the brother and 6th respondent is the sister-in-law of the alleged detenue. The petitioner submits that after five months of their marriage she was taken by respondents 4 to 6 from the Airport in good faith. It is the submission that the parents promised that his wife will be brought back within a week, but she has not returned.

2. The learned Senior Government Pleader submits that there was a man-missing case registered by the petitioner as Ext.P2 before the Kumali Police Station. Parties were summoned to the Kumali Police station and the wife of the

petitioner submitted that she is no longer interested in continuing the relationship. It is further submitted that there was a divorce petition filed by the alleged detenue, which is pending. We do not find any instance of illegal detention arising in this case.

In such circumstances, we do not find any reason to invoke the extra-ordinary jurisdiction by issuance a writ of habeas corpus. The above writ petition is dismissed.

Sd/-

K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
Judge.

Sd/-

T.R. RAVI,
Judge.

sp/14/12/2020

//True Copy//

P.A. To Judge

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S/S EXHIBITS:

EXHIBIT P1	**A TRUE COPY OF THE MARRIAGE CERTIFICATE ISSUED FROM THE OFFICE OF SUB REGISTRAR DATED 29.05.2020.**
EXHIBIT P2	**A TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT PREFERRED TO STATE POLICE CHIEF DATED 18.11.2020.**
EXHIBIT P3	**A TRUE COPY OF THE POSTAL RECEIPTS EVIDENCING THE DISPATCH OF COMPLAINTS TO JURISDICTIONAL POLICE DATED 18.11.2020.**