IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.SURESH KUMAR

WEDNESDAY, THE 16TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2020 / 25TH AGRAHAYANA, 1942

WP(C).No.24339 OF 2020(N)

PETITIONER:

HEROLD,AGED 47 YEARS
S/O. JOSEPH, RESIDING AT VELIVIL HOUSE,
NJALAKAM, NORTH KALAMASSERY P.O,
ERNAKULAM 683104

BY ADVS.
SMT.PARVATHY S.KRISHNAN
SHRI.MITHUN P.

RESPONDENTS:

1 **THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,**
COLLECTORATE, CIVIL STATION, KAKKANAD
COLELCTORATE, CIVIL STATION, KAKKANAD,
ERNAKULAM PIN 682 030

2 **REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,**
REVENUE OFFICE, FORT KOCHI,
ERNAKULAM, PIN 682 001

3 **TAHASILDAR,**
ALUVA TALUK OFFICE, ALUVA,
ERNAKULAM PIN 683101

4 **VILLAGE OFFICER,**
NEDUMBASSERY VILLAGE OFFICE,
NEDUMBASSERY, ERNAKULAM, PIN 683585

5 AGRICULTURAL OFFICER
KRISHI BHAVAN, NEDUMBASSERY,
ALUVA, ERNAKULAM, PIN 683585

OTHER PRESENT:

GP. K.J.MANURAJ

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 16.12.2020, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

W.P.(C) No.24339 of 2020

J U D G M E N T

Ext.P5 is an application preferred by the petitioner in Form No.5 of the Kerala Conservation of Paddy Land and Wetland Rules for removal of the property of the petitioner referred to in the writ petition from the data bank prepared in terms of the Kerala conservation of Paddy Land and Wetland Act, 2008. The grievance of the petitioner in the writ petition concerns the inaction on the part of the second respondent in taking a decision on Ext.P5 application.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as also the learned Government Pleader.

3. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and the orders passed by this court in similar and identical writ petitions, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition directing the second respondent to take up and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P5 in accordance with law, if the same is received and pending, after affording the petitioner an opportunity of hearing. Ordered accordingly. This shall be done within three months from

the date of production of a copy of this judgment along with a copy of the writ petition by the petitioner. It is made clear that the hearing in terms of this judgment can either be physical or through video conference.

Sd/-

P.B.SURESH KUMAR, JUDGE.

Ds

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S/S EXHIBITS:

EXHIBIT P1	**TRUE COPY OF THE TAX RECEIPTS ISSUED IN THE NAME OF THE PETITIONER BY THE 4TH RESPONDENT DATED 21-06-2020**
EXHIBIT P2	**THE TRUE COPY OF THE DATA BANK ISSUED BY THE 5TH RESPONDENT DATED 09-10-2014**
EXHIBIT P3	**TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT DATED 05-03-2014**
EXHIBIT P4	**TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT DATED 18-02-2014**
EXHIBIT P5	**TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER DATED 15-10-2020**