IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

CWP No. 5517 of 2020.

Decided on : 29th December, 2020.

Nisha **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & others **....Respondents.**

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sureshwar Thakur, Judge.
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioner: Mr. Tarun K. Sharma, Advocate.
For Respondent No.1: Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Senior Addl.A.G. with Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl.A.G.
For Respondent No.2: Ms. Anjali Soni Verma, Advocate.

For Respondent No.3: Nemo.

Sureshwar Thakur, Judge (Oral) .

Heard. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2, states at the Bar, that she has been meted instructions, to, make, a, submission before this Court that the affiliation granted to co-respondent No.3, wherefrom, the petitioner acquired the apposite qualification, though, has been subsequently rescinded, but the rescission of the affiliation, granted to co-respondent No.3, does not affect the validity of Annexure P-2, as within the apposite interregnum, the validity, of, afore Annexure,

[1] Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

rather stands protected. Consequently, if the petitioner occurs in the select list, the respondents concerned may, subject to verification, of, the afore annexure, in all respects, accept the afore certificate(s). Accordingly, the extant writ petition is disposed of, so also pending application(s), if any.

Copy dasti.

(Sureshwar Thakur)
Judge

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge.

29th December, 2020.
(jai)