IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWPOA No.: 253 of 2019

Date of Decision: 17.07.2020

Smt. Yashodha Devi ....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of H.P. and others ....Respondents

Coram:
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ajay Mohan Goel, Judge

Whether approved for reporting?[1] *No.*

For the petitioner: Mr. Rakesh Thakur, Advocate.

For the respondents: M/s Dinesh Thakur and Sanjeev Sood, Additional Advocate Generals, with Ms. Divya Sood, Deputy Advocate General, for respondents No. 1 to 4.

None for respondents No. 5 & 6.
Through Video Conferencing

Ajay Mohan Goel, Judge *(Oral):*

By way of this writ petition, the petitioner has, *inter alia,* prayed for the following reliefs:

> *"(I) That writ of certiorari may very kindly be issued thereby quashing appointment of respondent No. 6 dated 13.01.2010 (Annexure P-1).*
>
> *(II) That writ of mandamus may kindly be issued directing respondents No. 1 to 4 to offer*

[1] ***Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment?***

appointment to the petitioner as part time water carrier in Government Primary School Baped Bissian, Tehsil Nalagarh, District Solan, H.P."

2. When the case was taken up for consideration today, learned Additional Advocate General while referring to para-4 of the reply filed by the State, submits that no appointment was offered to respondent No. 6 on account of some disablement suffered by him. In view of this, the petition has been rendered infructuous. Dismissed as infructuous, so also pending miscellaneous applications, if any.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

July 17, 2020
(bhupender)