IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

CWP Nos. 2217, 2194, 2195, 2197, 2221, 2317, 2434, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2454, 2484 and 2485 of 2019

Decided on: 13th March, 2020

CWP No. 2217 of 2019

Hira Lal ……Petitioner

Versus

Himachal Road Transport Corporation and another
……Respondents

CWP No. 2194 of 2019

Virender Sehgal ……Petitioner

Versus

Himachal Road Transport Corporation and another
……Respondents

CWP No. 2195 of 2019

Saroj Kumari ……Petitioner

Versus

Himachal Road Transport Corporation and another
……Respondents

CWP No. 2197 of 2019

Sarita Devi ……Petitioner

Versus

Himachal Road Transport Corporation and another
……Respondents

CWP No. 2221 of 2019

Arjun Kumar ……Petitioner

Versus

Himachal Road Transport Corporation and another
……Respondents

CWP No. 2317 of 2019

Anoop Kumar ……Petitioner

Versus

Himachal Road Transport Corporation and another
……Respondents

CWP No. 2434 of 2019

Ashwani Kumar ……Petitioner

Versus

Himachal Road Transport Corporation and another
……Respondents

CWP No. 2450 of 2019

Sandeep Kumar ……Petitioner

Versus

Himachal Road Transport Corporation and another
……Respondents

CWP No. 2451 of 2019

Uma Devi ……Petitioner

Versus

Himachal Road Transport Corporation and another
……Respondents

CWP No. 2452 of 2019

Sanjeev Kumar ……Petitioner

Versus

Himachal Road Transport Corporation and another
……Respondents

CWP No. 2454 of 2019

Rita Devi ……Petitioner

Versus

Himachal Road Transport Corporation and another
……Respondents

CWP No. 2484 of 2019

Sunita Kumari ……Petitioner

Versus

Himachal Road Transport Corporation and another
……Respondents

CWP No. 2485 of 2019

Rajeev Mahajan ……Petitioner

Versus

Himachal Road Transport Corporation and another
……Respondents

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anoop Chitkara, Judge.

[1]*Whether approved for reporting?. No.*

For the petitioner(s): Mr. Manohar Lal Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner in CWP No.2217 of 2019 and Mr. Vinay Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner(s) in remaining CWPs.

For the respondents: Mr. Vikas Rajput, Advocate.

Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge *(oral)*

Learned counsel for the respective petitioners state

---

1 Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

that the issue in question is squarely covered by the judgment rendered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal Nos.1557-1564 of 2019, titled as ***Himachal Road Transport Corporation Versus Lekh Ram etc.etc.***, decided on February 08, 2019. Learned counsel for the respondents states that the Department has no objection in case the petitions are disposed of with a direction to consider the respective cases of the petitioners in the light of the aforesaid judgment.

2. Accordingly, the present petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the respective cases of the petitioners in the light of judgment in Lekh Ram's case (supra) and in case the same are covered, then all consequential steps in compliance to the aforesaid judgment be taken within a period eight weeks from today. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Anoop Chitkara)
Judge

March 13, 2020
(Himalvi/Atal)