IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 28TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2020

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR

AND

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE E.S. INDIRESH

C.C.C. No.1399/2019 (CIVIL)

BETWEEN:

SRI. S.V. LAKSHMANA
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS
S/O VENKATE GOWDA
RESIDING AT NO 96/A,
III CROSS, HOYSALA ROAD
BRINDAVAN NAGAR
BANGALORE – 560 019
PRESENTLY WORKING AS
ATTENDER, V.V. PURAM
LAW COLLEGE, K.R. ROAD
V V PURAM, BANGALORE – 560 004.

... COMPLAINANT

(BY SRI. VIVEKANANDA T.P, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SHRI. S.B. VASTHRA MUTH, IAS
   MAJOR
   FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN
   TO THE COMPLAINANT
   PRINCIPAL SECRETARY/SECRETARY
   GOVERNMENT, DEPARTMENT OF LAW
   VIDHANA SOUDHA
   BENGALURU – 560 001.

2. SHRI. K.R. RAMESH BABU
   MAJOR
   FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN

TO THE COMPLAINANT, JOINT
DIRECTOR, REGIONAL OFFICE
DEPARTMENT OF COLLEGIATE
EUDCATION NO.5, KALIDASA
ROAD, BANGALORE – 560 009.

...RESPONDENTS/ACCUSED

(BY SRI. B.V. KRISHNA, AGA)

THIS CCC IS FILED UNDER SECTIONS 11 AND 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT, PRAYING TO TAKE COGNIZANCE OF WILLFUL DISOBEDIENCE OF THE ORDER DATED:11.04.2018 IN W.P.NO.17843/2016 PASSED BY THE LEARNED SINGLE JUDGE OF THIS HON'BLE COURT AND PUNISH THE ACCUSED FOR THE WILLFUL DISOBEDIENCE FO THE COURT ORDER.

THIS CCC COMING ON FOR ORDERS THIS DAY, ***ARAVIND KUMAR J,*** MADE THE FOLLOWING:

O R D E R

This contempt proceedings has been initiated alleging there has been willful disobedience of the order dated 11.04.2018 passed in W.P.No.17843/2016 by the respondent. Direction which came to be issued in the writ petition was to the effect that respondents should ***consider the case of the petitioner*** and to pass appropriate order with regard to considering the case of petitioner as "Attender" instead of "Peon".

2. Today, learned Government Advocate has filed the affidavit of second respondent whereunder it is

stated that claim of the petitioner, as forwarded under the proposal submitted by the college, has been examined and for the reasons indicated in the order dated 25.02.2020 (Document No.1) claim of the petitioner has been rejected. In that view of the matter, we are not inclined to continue the present proceedings and reserving liberty to the petitioner to challenge the order dated 25.02.2020, this contempt petition stands dropped.

SD/-
JUDGE

SD/-
JUDGE

DR