IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA, BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 23RD DAY OF DECEMBER, 2020

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA S.DIXIT

WRIT PETITION NO.15486 OF 2020 (EDN-RES)

BETWEEN

1 . SRI VEERABHADRESWARA SC AND ST
VIDUY SAMSTHE ®
TALAGUNDA, SHIKARIPURA TALUK,
SHIMOGGA-577450,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
SRI SUNIL KUMAR J P,
AGED ABOUT 30 YEARS.

2 . DR B R AMBEDKAR EDUCATION TRUST (R)
RAJEEV GANDHI COLLEGE OF EDUCATION (B.ED),
OLD SEEGEBAGI, BHADRAVATHI,
SHIVAMOGGA -577301.
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY
SMT GANGAMMA.

… PETITIONERS

(BY SRI PATIL JAGADEESH GOUD, ADVOCATE)

AND

1 . THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
BY ITS SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL WELFARE,
M S BUILDING, BENGALURU-560001.

2 . THE COMMISSIONER
DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL WELFARE,
M S BUILDING, BENGALURU-560001.

3 . THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR
TALUK SOCIAL WELFARE OFFICE,
SHIKARIPURA TALUK, SHIVAMOGGA-577450.

4 . THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR

TALUK SOCIAL WELFARE OFFICE,
BHADRAVATHI TALUK,
SHIVAMOGGA-577245.

... RESPONDENTS

(BY SMT. PRAMODHINI KISHAN, AGA)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA PRAYING TO DIRECT THE RESPONDENTS TO PAY THE BALANCE AMOUNT TO THE PETITIONERS INSTITUTION SINCE 2016-17 TO 2019-20 OF SC/ST STUDENTS, ACCORDING TO FEES PRESCRIBED IN EVERY YEARLY NOTIFICATION AND AS PER ORDER DTD 23.02.2016 AT ANNX-B AND ETC.

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR *PRELIMINARY HEARING* THIS DAY THROUGH PHYSICAL HEARING, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:-

O R D E R

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioners is similar to the one in W.P.No.55238-55244/2013 disposed off by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court on 03.06.2014. He submits that the petitioners could be more than satisfied if their representations at Annexures-D & E both dated 23.09.2020 are considered in accordance with law.

2. Learned AGA on request having accepted notice for respondents, although initially opposed the writ petition, now fairly submits that if a reasonable period is prescribed by this Court, there will be no much difficulty

for considering the subject representations in accordance with law and also keeping in view the aforesaid judgment, in addition to the extant Rules/Notifications.

In view of the above, this writ petition is disposed off; respondents 3 & 4 are directed to consider petitioner's subject representations within an outer limit of eight weeks in accordance with law and to inform them of the result of such consideration immediately.

It is open to the answering respondents to solicit any information or documents from the side of petitioner as are required for the due consideration of subject representations. However, in the guise of such solicitation, delay shall not be brooked.

All contentions of the parties are kept open.

Costs made easy.

Sd/-
JUDGE

Snb/