

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APPP) NO.1655 OF 2019

IN

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APL) NO.1095 OF 2018

(ADV. POOJA RAKESH AGRAWAL...VS.. STATE OF MAH. & OTH.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri A.P.Raghute, Advocate for Applicant.
Ms Shamsi Haider, A.P.P.for Non-applicant No.1/State.

CORAM : Z.A.HAQ AND
PUSHPA V. GANEDIWALA, JJ.

DATED : JANUARY 03, 2020.

Learned Advocate for the applicant has pointed out three typographical errors in the judgment, and by this application it is prayed that the same be corrected.

It is submitted that in paragraph No.4 on page No.2 of the judgment in the last but one line it is stated that “*..it was learnt by the non-applicant No.2 that the said Arpan Murarka is not owner of the said plot..*”, but it should be “*..it was learnt by the non-applicant No.3 that the said Arpan Murarka is not owner of the said plot..*”

It is further submitted that in paragraph 8(ii) instead of “*Charge-sheet No.1 of 2018*” it should be “**Charge-sheet No.1 of 2019**” and instead of “*accused No.2-Pooja Ashok Agrawal*”, it should be “**accused No.2-Pooja Rakesh Agrawal**”.

The learned A.P.P. has not controverted the above submission made on behalf of the applicant. Hence, it is directed that the correction, as stated above, be carried out in the judgment and copy of the judgment be given to the applicant without any charges.

The Criminal Application is **allowed** accordingly.

JUDGE

JUDGE

RRaut..