

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.6247 OF 2017

(Ku. Uma d/o Ramkishore Shukla Vs. State of Maharashtra thr. its Secretary,
Department of Education, Mantralay, Mumbai and others)

*Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.*

Court's or Judge's orders.

Shri P.P. Thakre, Advocate for Petitioner.
Shri B.M. Lonare, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2.

CORAM: RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
S.M. MODAK, JJ.

DATE: 4th FEBRUARY, 2020.

1] The learned Advocate for the petitioner places on record a purshis along with documents (five pages) and submits that though the appointment of the petitioner has now been granted approval vide order dated 15.01.2020 and he is treated as a permanent Teacher w.e.f. 01.07.2019, his grievance as regards ignoring of his service as a 'Shikshan Sewak' w.e.f. 01.07.2016 to 30.06.2019, will have to be redressed. The petitioner desires to prefer an independent petition for the same. Though this petition is rendered infructuous, the contentions of the petitioner may be kept open to the extent of his challenge to the date from which, he has been granted the approval.

2] The documents placed before us are taken on record and marked as "X" collectively for identification.

3] In view of the above, this petition is disposed off

as being infructuous.

4] Needless to state that, if the petitioner challenges his approval granted vide order dated 20.09.2019 for ignoring his earlier service period as a 'Shikshan Sewak', all contentions of the parties are kept open.

(S.M. Modak, J.)

(Ravindra V. Ghuge, J.)