

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.4855/2016

The Head, the Regional Research Center, Dr. Punjabrao Deshmukh Krushi
Vidhyapeeth, Amravati and another

..V/s..

Pushpa Wasudeo Tambaskar and another

AND WRIT PETITION NO.4860/2016

The Head, the Regional Research Center, Dr. Punjabrao Deshmukh Krushi
Vidhyapeeth, Amravati and another

..V/s..

Sumitra Sheshrao Chikhalkar and another

AND WRIT PETITION NO.4862/2016

The Head, the Regional Research Center, Dr. Punjabrao Deshmukh Krushi
Vidhyapeeth, Amravati and another

..V/s..

Ramesh Ramkrushna Khoje and another

AND WRIT PETITION NO.4865/2016

The Head, the Regional Research Center, Dr. Punjabrao Deshmukh Krushi
Vidhyapeeth, Amravati and another

..V/s..

Sheshrao Rajaramji Chikhalkar and another

AND WRIT PETITION NO.4868/2016

The Head, the Regional Research Center, Dr. Punjabrao Deshmukh Krushi
Vidhyapeeth, Amravati and another

..V/s..

Vishnu Maroti Dange and another

AND WRIT PETITION NO.4869/2016

The Head, the Regional Research Center, Dr. Punjabrao Deshmukh Krushi
Vidhyapeeth, Amravati and another

..V/s..

Prabhakar Pundlik Sabale and another

AND WRIT PETITION NO.4871/2016

The Head, the Regional Research Center, Dr. Punjabrao Deshmukh Krushi
Vidhyapeeth, Amravati and another

..V/s..

Mahadeo Bendaji Wankhede and another

AND WRIT PETITION NO.4872/2016

The Head, the Regional Research Center, Dr. Punjabrao Deshmukh Krushi
Vidhyapeeth, Amravati and another

..V/s..

Shobha Punlikrao Ramteke and another

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri Abhay Sambre, Advocate for the petitioners.
Shri Sagar Katkar, Advocate for respondent No.1. ..(in all petitions).

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

DATED : 6.1.2020.

1] The learned Advocate for the petitioners points out that, in a total group of 19 matters, some of the respondents were agreeable to the terms settled between them and by order dated 21.12.2016, some of the petitions were withdrawn by the respondents. The petitions which are on board today are from the same group.

2] The learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the employees submits on instructions taken from his clients, who are present in the Court, that they are also agreeable and these petitions can be disposed off in view of the benefits made available to the respondents by the petitioners, akin to the order dated 21.12.2016.

3] In view of the above, for the reasons set out in the order dated 21.12.2016 in Writ Petition

No.4861/2106, these petitions are **disposed off** as the dispute between the parties has been put to rest.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

Tambaskar.