

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 1089 OF 2018
IN
SUIT NO. 5 OF 2006**

The Board of Trustees of the Port of Mumbai ...Applicant/
Plaintiff

In the matter between

The Board of Trustees of the Port of Mumbai ...Plaintiffs

Versus

Aartee Steel Ltd. & ors. ...Defendants

And

State Bank of India ...Respondent

Adv. Priyank Daga, i/b Jayakar and Partners, for the Plaintiff/
Applicant.

Ms. Subra Karmakar, for Defendant nos.3 and 4.

CORAM: N. J. JAMADAR, J.

DATED : 25th FEBRUARY, 2020

PC:-

1. Heard the learned Counsels for the parties.
2. This chamber summons is taken out by the plaintiff to carry out the amendment in the plaint so as to incorporate the change in the name of defendant No.4 consequent to the approval of the Scheme of Arrangement, Amalgamation and Transfer, pursuant to the order dated 15th November 2017 passed by the Division Bench of the National Company Law Tribunal Division Bench, Chennai passed in the said Scheme.

3. For the reasons assigned in affidavit in support of the chamber summons and the statutory change in the name of defendant No.4 in view of order dated 15th November, 2017 passed by the Division Bench of the National Company Law Tribunal Division Bench, Chennai, the chamber summons is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).
4. The plaintiff shall carry out the necessary amendment as per the the Schedule appended to the chamber summons and serve a copy of the amended plaint within a period of four weeks.
5. The chamber summons stands disposed of.
6. List the suit on 9th March 2020.

[N. J. JAMADAR, J.]