

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.116 OF 2009

WITH

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.479 OF 2010

WITH

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.421 OF 2011

IN

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.116 OF 2009

Wecom Trust & Others

.... Petitioners

Vs.

The Union of India & Others

.... Respondents

WITH

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.355 OF 2012

IN

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.116 OF 2009

Anil Parab

.... Applicant

In the matter between

Wecom Trust & Others

.... Petitioners

Vs.

The Union of India & Others

.... Respondents

WITH

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.378 OF 2016

IN

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.116 OF 2009

Wecom Trust & Others

.... Applicants

In the matter between

Wecom Trust & Others

.... Petitioners

Vs.

The Union of India & Others

.... Respondents

WITH

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.298 OF 2017

IN

PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.116 OF 2009

Wecom Trust	 Applicant
<i>In the matter between</i>	
Wecom Trust & Others	 Petitioners
Vs.	
The Union of India & Others	 Respondents

WITH
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO.168 OF 2016
IN
PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.116 OF 2009

Dipak Kumar Chattopadhyay	 Applicant/ Intervener
<i>In the matter between</i>	
Wecom Trust & Others	 Petitioners
Vs.	
The Union of India & Others	 Respondents

Mr. Vishesh Kalra for the Petitioners.
Mr. Parag Vyas with Mr. H.V. Mehta for Respondent
No.1-UoI.
Ms Geeta Shastri, Addl. GP, for the Respondent-State.
Mr. A.Y. Sakhare, Senior Advocate with Mr. Ashwin
Sakolkar & Ms K.H. Mastakar for the MCGM.
Ms Anchita Nair i/by Ms Sharmila Deshmukh for
Respondent No.4.
Mr. Vikas Warekar i/by Warekar & Warekar for the
Mumbai Cricket Association.

CORAM: S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
R.I. CHAGLA, JJ.

DATE : JANUARY 08, 2020

P.C:

1. In the light of the orders passed on the previous occasions and the affidavit of compliance, we do not think that the notices of motion should be kept pending.

2. The affidavit in reply addresses the concerns of the litigants and particularly the PIL petitioners before us.

3. Needless to clarify that in matters of this nature, when the larger challenge is pending, the vigilant members of the Civil Society and the people residing in and around Shivaji Park would be well advised to keep a watch and vigil on the activities at this venue/Shivaji Park. It is not that the Court alone should be approached and converted into a watchman to keep such vigil as is expected by this PIL litigants. All the afore-stated motions are, therefore, disposed of.

4. List the PIL and the chamber summons on **15-1-2020**.

(R.I. CHAGLA, J.)

(S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)

SURESH
JAGDISH
SAJNAWAT

Digitally signed
by SURESH
JAGDISH
SAJNAWAT
Date:
2020.01.13
11:22:59 +0530