

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

**PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.116 OF 2009**

**WITH**

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO.479 OF 2010**

**WITH**

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO.421 OF 2011**

**IN**

**PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.116 OF 2009**

Wecom Trust & Others

.... Petitioners

Vs.

The Union of India & Others

.... Respondents

**WITH**

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO.355 OF 2012**

**IN**

**PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.116 OF 2009**

Anil Parab

.... Applicant

***In the matter between***

Wecom Trust & Others

.... Petitioners

Vs.

The Union of India & Others

.... Respondents

**WITH**

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO.378 OF 2016**

**IN**

**PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.116 OF 2009**

Wecom Trust & Others

.... Applicants

***In the matter between***

Wecom Trust & Others

.... Petitioners

Vs.

The Union of India & Others

.... Respondents

**WITH**

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO.298 OF 2017**

**IN**

**PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.116 OF 2009**

|                                     |                  |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Wecom Trust                         | .... Applicant   |
| <b><i>In the matter between</i></b> |                  |
| Wecom Trust & Others                | .... Petitioners |
| Vs.                                 |                  |
| The Union of India & Others         | .... Respondents |

**WITH**  
**CHAMBER SUMMONS NO.168 OF 2016**  
**IN**  
**PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.116 OF 2009**

|                                     |                               |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Dipak Kumar Chattopadhyay           | .... Applicant/<br>Intervener |
| <b><i>In the matter between</i></b> |                               |
| Wecom Trust & Others                | .... Petitioners              |
| Vs.                                 |                               |
| The Union of India & Others         | .... Respondents              |

Mr. Vishesh Kalra for the Petitioners.  
Mr. Parag Vyas with Mr. H.V. Mehta for Respondent  
No.1-UoI.  
Ms Geeta Shastri, Addl. GP, for the Respondent-State.  
Mr. A.Y. Sakhare, Senior Advocate with Mr. Ashwin  
Sakolkar & Ms K.H. Mastakar for the MCGM.  
Ms Anchita Nair i/by Ms Sharmila Deshmukh for  
Respondent No.4.  
Mr. Vikas Warekar i/by Warekar & Warekar for the  
Mumbai Cricket Association.

**CORAM:** S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &  
R.I. CHAGLA, JJ.

**DATE :** JANUARY 08, 2020

**P.C:**

1. In the light of the orders passed on the previous occasions and the affidavit of compliance, we do not think that the notices of motion should be kept pending.

2. The affidavit in reply addresses the concerns of the litigants and particularly the PIL petitioners before us.

3. Needless to clarify that in matters of this nature, when the larger challenge is pending, the vigilant members of the Civil Society and the people residing in and around Shivaji Park would be well advised to keep a watch and vigil on the activities at this venue/Shivaji Park. It is not that the Court alone should be approached and converted into a watchman to keep such vigil as is expected by this PIL litigants. All the afore-stated motions are, therefore, disposed of.

4. List the PIL and the chamber summons on **15-1-2020.**

(R.I. CHAGLA, J.)

(S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)

SURESH  
JAGDISH  
SAJNAWAT

Digitally signed  
by SURESH  
JAGDISH  
SAJNAWAT  
Date:  
2020.01.13  
11:22:59 +0530