

passed by the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal (the Tribunal). The impugned order dated 15 November 2016 is in respect of Assessment Year 2010-11.

3. Revenue urges the following questions of law, for our consideration :

“(A) Whether, on the facts and in the circumstances of the case and in law, the Hon’ble Tribunal has erred in holding that the assessee was not liable for deduction of tax at source on the payments for credit card services ?

(B) Whether, on the facts and in the circumstances of the case and in law, the Hon’ble Tribunal has failed to appreciate the fact that the Notification No.56/2012(F. No. 275/53/2012-IT (B) dated 31 December 2012 was applicable from 1 January 2013, which implies that prior to the said Notification, payment to Banks for amount received through credit cards was in the nature of commission, which should have been subject to TDS under Section 194H. Since assessee has failed to deduct the TDS, the amount comes within the ambit of provisions of section 40(a) (ia) read with Section 194H ?”

4. It is an agreed position between the parties that the issue raised herein stands concluded against the Revenue and in favour of the Respondent – Assessee by the decision of this Court in Respondent – Assessee’s own case being *CIT V/s. M/s. Cleartrip Pvt. Ltd.*, (Income Tax Appeal No. 20 of 2017) decided

on 25 March 2019 and in *Principal Commissioner of Income Tax v/s. M/s. Hotel Leela Venture Ltd.* (Income Tax Appeal Nos.847 and 954 of 2016) decided on 18 December 2018.

5. In the above view, the questions as proposed do not give rise to any substantial question of law. Thus, not entertained.

6. Accordingly, Appeal dismissed.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)

Diksha
Rane
Digitally
signed by
Diksha
Rane
Date:
2020.01.15
18:34:28
+0530