

Pradnya Bhogale

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 402 OF 2019**

Archana S. Gangurde ..Petitioner
vs.
Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai ..Respondent

.....
Ms. Vidula S. Patil for Petitioner.
Ms. Trupti Puranik a/w Mr. Saurabh Pakale for Respondent.

.....
**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR &
M.S.KARNIK, JJ.**

DATE : 3 MARCH 2020

P.C.:-

The Petitioner has challenged the recommendations of the Anomaly Committee given at Page No.11 at Sr. No.5 of the report and the Circular issued pursuant thereto. Except mentioning the report in the prayer clause there is no contention that it is bad in law. For lack of pleadings we could have dismissed the Petition but the learned counsel for the Petitioner states that leave may be granted to withdraw the Petition to file a fresh Petition. Recording our disapproval the way Petition was drafted, we dispose of the Petition with liberty as prayed.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)