

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 5639 OF 2018

Dipankar Nemal Baug and Ors. ... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and anr. ... Respondents

Mr.Rohan Mahadik a/w Ms. Rashmi Thakkar for the petitioners.

Mr. Rutu Pawar i/by Mr. Abhishek Deshmukh for respondent no. 2.

Mr. S.R. Shinde, APP for the respondent State.

CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
NITIN R. BORKAR, JJ.
DATE : JANUARY 30, 2020

P.C.:

On 9/1/2020, respondent no. 2 had disputed the fact of dissolution of marriage and therefore, the matter was adjourned to today.

2. Today, the petitioners are present with their advocate. Respondent no.2 is present with her advocate. The judgment and copy of the petition in Marriage Petition No. A-20/2016 are produced.

3. Respondent no.2 also accepts that the marriage has been dissolved. Respondent no.2 has stated that she has received the amount of Rs.1,50,000/- till date and the balance amount of

Rs.50,000/- is paid to her today in court in cash. She has given her no objection for quashing of the FIR.

4. In this situation, in view of the joint request, we make the rule absolute in terms of prayer clauses (b) and (c).

(NITIN R. BORKAR, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)