

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.6441 OF 2019

Shankar Dagadu Mohite .. **Petitioner**
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... **Respondents**

.....

Ms.Kumud A. Bhatia, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr.A.R.Kapadnis, the Additional Public Prosecutor for the
Respondent No.1/State.

Mr.Prabhanjan Gujar, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.2 and 3.

....

CORAM : A.M.BADAR J.

DATED : 14th FEBRUARY 2020.

P.C. :

1 The only point urged by the learned Counsel for the
petitioner/accused No.1 is to the effect that the trial of offence
alleged against him is pending before the learned trial Court from
the year 2010 and, therefore, it needs to be expedited.

2 Perused the *roznama*, which are filed on record. The petitioner/accused No.1 so also the accused No.2 who happens to be his wife are conspicuous by their absence before the learned trial Court. Be that as it may, careful perusal of the record does not show that the petitioner/accused has ever made an application for expeditious trial before the learned trial Court.

3 In this view of the matter, the petition is disposed of with following Order.

ORDER

(i) The Petitioner is permitted to make an application for expeditious disposal of the trial before the learned trial Magistrate and if such an application is filed, then the learned trial Magistrate should deal with the same according to the provisions of law.

(ii) The Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(A.M.BADAR, J.)