

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 6322 OF 2019

Raj Vinod Nathu and Ors. ... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and anr. ... Respondents

Adv Mikdad Aziz Zummerwala for the petitioner.

Adv Pranot Pawar for respondent no. 2.

Dr. F.R. Shaikh, APP for the respondent State.

CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
NITIN R. BORKAR, JJ.
DATE : FEBRUARY 03, 2020

P.C.:

Respondent no. 2 is present with her advocate. Petitioner no. 1 is present on behalf of the petitioners with his advocate. Learned App appears for the State.

2. Parties are jointly requesting for quashing and setting aside of the criminal case which is under sections 498A, 406 read with 34 IPC.

3. Petitioner No. 1 and respondent no.2 have filed consent terms in Petition No.A-1589 of 2019 in the Family Court at Bandra. Separate affidavit is already placed on record by respondent no.2. She accepts the consent terms. Accordingly she

has received DD of Rs. 1 lac today in this court.

5. In this situation, accepting the joint request made, we make the rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a). Petition is allowed and disposed of.

(NITIN R. BORKAR, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)