

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 6091 OF 2019

Satish Vithoba Nadigatla and Ors. ... Petitioners

Versus

Mrs. Dipika Satish Nadigagtla and anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Vivek Babar for the petitioners.

Mr. Suraj Naik i/by Mr. R.D. Suryawanshi for respondent no. 1.

Mr. K.V. Saste, APP for the respondent State.

CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
NITIN R. BORKAR, JJ.
DATE : FEBRUARY 21, 2020

P.C.:

Petitioners are present with their advocate. Respondent no.1 complainant is present with her advocate. Parties are jointly requesting for quashing of Criminal Case No.929/PW/2018 arising out of FIR dated 16/11/2017. It is essentially under section 498A. However, the other sections like 323, 406, 504, 377 have been added. In Petition No. A/1889/18 in the Family Court No. 1 at Bandra, consent terms have been arrived at between the parties and parties are getting divorced under section 13B of the Hindu Marriage Act.

2. Respondent no. 1 has tendered separate affidavit today

giving no objection and orally also she informs the court accordingly.

3. In view of the joint request, we make the rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (A).

(NITIN R. BORKAR, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)