

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6526 OF 2005

Swami Samarth Education Society ...Petitioner
vs.
Shri Santosh Tulaji Patil & Ors. ...Respondents

Mr.Neel G. Helekar for Petitioner.
Mr.Balkrishna Joshi for Respondent No.1.
Mr.A.R. Metkari, AGP for Respondent Nos.2 and 3 in WP 6526/2005 &
Respondent Nos.4 to 6 in Contempt Petition No.101 of 2016.

WITH
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 101 OF 2016
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 6526 OF 2005

Santosh Tulaji Patil ...Petitioner
vs.
Swami Samarth Education Society & Ors. ...Respondents

CORAM : S.C. GUPTE, J.

DATE : 15 JANUARY 2020

P.C. :

Learned Counsel for the parties tender consent terms duly signed by an authorised representative of the Petitioner and Respondent No.1 and their respective Advocates. The only contesting party in the petition is Respondent No.1. The consent terms require disposal of the writ petition as also of the accompanying contempt petition in terms of the consent terms. The consent terms are taken on record, marked "X" for identification. The statements and undertakings contained therein are accepted and the writ petition as well as the contempt petition is disposed of in terms of the consent terms. No order as to costs. This order is passed

in the presence of both parties. The Registry shall permit withdrawal of the amount in terms of Clause 4 of the consent terms.

(S.C. GUPTE, J.)