

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 5909 OF 2019

Sunder Deepchand Panjnani

...Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra and Anr.

...Respondent

Petitioner in person present.

Dr. F.R.Shaikh for the State.

Mr. Mehul Thakkar for respondent No.2.

**CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI &
N.R.BORKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 14TH JANUARY, 2020.

P.C.:

On last occasion, after hearing petitioner in person and respondent No.2 with her Advocate, respondent No.2 had submitted that compromise does not in any way affect the interest of her son.

2. Said son by name Shiv Panjnani is present today.

3. The petitioner and respondent No.2 state that quashing of FIR lodged by respondent No.2 under Section 498-A of Indian Penal code does not in any way affect said son. Shiv is aged about 23 years at

this juncture.

4. In view of these development and joint request made by petitioner and respondent No.2, we make rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(N.R.BORKAR, J.)

(B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)