

was recorded under section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code. On completion of the investigation, charge-sheet is filed.

3. The learned counsel for the Applicant submits that the Applicant is a senior citizen of 60 years. The Applicant is falsely implicated in this case. There is no evidence of sexual assault. The medical evidence does not support the prosecution case. The applicant is in custody from May, 2019.

4. Learned APP submitted that the offence is of serious nature. The medical evidence supports statement of the victim recorded under section 164 of Cr.PC. In view of the presumption in relation under POCSO Act, the bail should not be granted.

5. I have perused the FIR and the statement of victim recorded under section 164 of Cr.PC. The victim is a child, aged about 9 years. She has given specific role to the applicant, having sexual assaulted her. I have perused the medical report, which corroborates nature of offence. The submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the Applicant, are matter of defence of the Applicant and the same cannot be considered at this stage.

6. Bail Application stands rejected.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)