

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.4897 OF 2019

Abdul Kadar Hussain Badshah and anr. ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Maharashtra and Ors. ... Respondents

Mr.Kuldeep Patil for the petitioners.

Mr. Ranjit Patil for respondent no. 2.

Mr. Sooraj Hulke, APP for the respondent State.

CORAM : B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &
NITIN R. BORKAR, JJ.
DATE : FEBRUARY 14, 2020

P.C.:

Petitioners are present with their advocate. Secretary of respondent no.2 is present with his advocate. Learned APP appears for the State.

2. Though the FIR as registered was under section 423, 441, 442, 445, 452, 453, 455, 463, 468 read with 34 and 120(B) IPC, ultimately after investigation respondent no.1 has presented chargesheet for the offences under sections 447, 453 and 455 IPC. The dispute has arisen out of possession of flat constructed for its members by respondent no. 2.

3. The dispute has been amicably settled and respondent

no.2 has accordingly tendered affidavit today. Orally also respondent n.2 has given no objection. The dispute has no public angle. Therefore, accepting the joint request, we make the rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(NITIN R. BORKAR, J.)

(B.P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)