

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**CONTEMPT PETITION ST. NO. 25006 OF 2019**

Pratap B. Pagare. ...Petitioner.  
*Versus*  
State of Maharashtra & Others. ..Respondents.

Mr. A. R. Raktade for the Petitioner.  
Mr. S. V. Marne for Respondent No. 2 to 5.  
Ms. R. M. Shinde, AGP for the Respondent-State.

Coram : RANJIT MORE &  
SURENDRA P. TAVADE, JJ.  
Date : **January 8, 2020.**

P. C. :

1. Mr. Marne, the learned counsel for Respondent No. 2 to 5 at the outset makes a statement that order dated 11<sup>th</sup> April 2019 passed in Civil Writ Petition No. 3071 of 2019 is complied with. He placed on record copy of the order dated 22<sup>nd</sup> April 2019 passed by the Dy. Commissioner (Administration), Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation.
2. In the light of above, the contempt petition will not survive and the same is accordingly disposed of.
3. Needless to state that the Petitioner is at liberty to challenge the said order of Dy. Commissioner by adopting appropriate legal proceedings, as may be permissible in law.

**[SURENDRA P TAVADE, J.]**

**[RANJIT MORE, J.]**