

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APL) NO.1000 OF 2017

Sufiyan Hifzurrehman Dhaga and Ors.

...Applicants

vs.

The State of Maharashtra and Anr.

...Respondents

Mr. Yasmin A. Shaikh for the Applicants.

Mohd. I. Momin for Respondent No.2.

Mr. S. R. Shinde, APP for the Respondent/State.

**CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI &
N. R. BORKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 2/1/2020.

PC.:

. Applicant No.1 is present with his advocate. Respondent No.2 is present with her advocate. Learned APP appears for the State.

2. Respondent No.2 states that she is residing with applicant No.1 happily and therefore does not want to prosecute the FIR earlier lodged by her.

3. We have put her questions accordingly and she has stated that daughter by name Zainab is also born to them.

4. In view of this development and joint request we make Rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(N. R. BORKAR, J.)

(B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)